RESEARCH ARTICLE

"Leveraging Artificial Intelligence for Advanced Healthcare Fraud Detection and Prevention: A Comprehensive Approach to Safeguarding Financial Integrity and Combatting Financial Crimes in the Healthcare Sector"

Alexander[1], Olivia[2]

[1] University of Edinburgh

[2] University of California

ARTICLE INFO

Received: Jun 8, 2024
Accepted: Aug 9, 2024

Keywords
Artificial Intelligence, Healthcare Fraud, Machine Learning, Billing Fraud, Insurance Fraud, Identity Theft, Fraud Detection, Financial Crimes.

***Corresponding Author:**
Oliva98@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

The healthcare sector stands as the largest industry globally while facing rising exposure to different fraud types which include billing fraud and insurance fraud with identity theft incidents. The detection of hospital insurance fraud now utilizes machine learning data analysis algorithms as part of artificial intelligence solutions to combat healthcare system exploitation. Organizations benefit substantially from AI capabilities that help enhance their fraud detection efficiency because this technology analyzes very large datasets to find hidden patterns humans usually overlook. This analysis investigates artificial intelligence technology-based healthcare fraud methods with specific focus on assessment of their success rates and analysis of both security concerns and advantages in practice. The discussion includes evaluation of necessary ethical matters. The research investigates how artificial intelligence prepares healthcare organizations against future financial fraud through machine learning and predictive modeling combined with data analytics.

I. INTRODUCTION

The problem exists outside geographical limits while targeting both public and private healthcare systems throughout the world. The primary healthcare fraud methods consist of document forgery, service misrepresentation and excessive billing as well as patient data breaches [1]. Such unlawful practices in healthcare reduce both system performance and organizational assets while producing financial losses along with higher healthcare expenses which negatively impact the quality of patient care. The increasing amount of healthcare data creates difficulties for manual fraud detection procedures. Modern Electronic Health Record technology enables healthcare systems to generate extensive recordings of billing data together with patient documents and insurance files [2]. The large quantity together with intricate nature of data hinders effective fraud detection because conventional methods exhibit limited capability for detecting low-level deceptive activities. Machine learning (ML) functions as an efficient tool that assists healthcare systems to discover and stop fraudulent activities. The utility of artificial intelligence specifically through machine learning algorithms will steadily grow for fraud detection within healthcare contexts. The predictive algorithms analyze bigger data quantities faster with better accuracy than conventional procedures. Data analysis and fraud scheme pattern detection through AI systems allows immediate identification of fraudulent activities which prevents significant monetary losses from happening in the first place [3]. Supervised and unsupervised learning algorithms enable detecting billing schemes and claims processing irregularities as well as abnormal patient identification patterns through machine learning techniques. The use of supervised learning requires training data which contains historical results including specific examples of previous fraud cases. The detection of newly forming fraud patterns works best with unsupervised learning because it identifies data anomalies before training occurs. AI can assist in combating various forms of healthcare fraud, such as: Billing Fraud, which involves charging for services that were never provided, double billing for services rendered only once, or overcharging for services rendered at a lower level than billed [4]; Insurance Fraud, where patients or providers deceive insurance companies to obtain unwarranted reimbursements; and Identity Theft, where a patient's identification data is misused for unauthorized purposes, such as receiving medical treatment or submitting fraudulent claims.

Healthcare organizations encounter various hurdles when implementing AI for fraud prevention systems. The main concern involves data quality and consistency as described in [5]. AI models need extensive amounts of high-quality data for their training processes yet autocomplete errors within healthcare records negatively impact their performance levels. When AI technologies are deployed in healthcare settings it becomes essential to handle social factors that involve privacy apprehensions and bias mitigation efforts. AI systems need recurring maintenance because fraudulent activities continuously transform in the modern world. AI model success relies on the available training data but fraudsters create new methods repeatedly so models must receive constant updates for continued effectiveness [6]. The main focus of this paper consists of studying different methods of AI and machine learning to combat healthcare fraud. The paper will start by detailing the types of fraud which AI prevention tactics address before presenting the most prevalent machine learning algorithms used to detect fraud [7]. The document discusses how healthcare organizations encounter multiple obstacles during AI-based fraud detection implementation including data quality problems alongside privacy and ethical limitations. The paper ends by assessing how advanced artificial intelligence will secure future healthcare institutions from financial fraud while examining its dual effects on the healthcare industry.

I. Research Findings

A. Types of AI Used in Healthcare Fraud Detection

Machine learning algorithms within artificial intelligence technologies become increasingly popular to identify healthcare industry fraud in modern practices. AI systems use Electronic Health

Records (EHR), billing data, medical insurance claims, and patient records to discover outlier activities which might show evidence of fraud. An examination of the AI technology tools that healthcare organizations use to detect fraud takes place in this section according to [8].

i. Machine Learning Algorithms

Machine learning functions as the main artificial intelligence method to discover healthcare fraud cases. These security models have been designed to identify potential threats through their ability to detect recognizable abnormal patterns [9]. The process of detecting fraud benefits from three commonly used machine learning algorithms since their implementation provides effective results.

a. Supervised Learning:

The supervision of an algorithm occurs when training takes place using data-input with its intended outputs from labelled datasets. Healthcare fraud detection professionals apply supervised learning techniques primarily for detecting fraudulent claims and identifying billing issues alongside uncovering patient record discrepancies. The classification algorithms decision trees together with random forests along with support vector machines (SVM) are commonly implemented to evaluate transaction legitimacy [10].

ii. Decision Trees:

The decision trees execute multiple ordered evaluations using data characteristics including patient age information and treatment type together with the service location. The final outcomes at every branching point of the tree determine whether the input data gets classified as real or fake [11].

*a. **Random Forests**:*

Random forests build upon decision trees through the process of constructing several decision trees for better accuracy by pooling results from each tree. The method proves highly useful in healthcare fraud detection to manage extensive datasets with numerous characteristics while recognizing advanced patterns indicative of fraud [12].

b. Unsupervised Learning:

The data sets used in unsupervised learning do not need specific labels like those in supervised learning. The algorithms evaluate extensive data collections to discover values that differ from observed patterns. Unsupervised learning proves its value by finding new fraud types that were previously unrecognized. Clustering methods together with anomaly detection represent common techniques of unsupervised learning according to [13].

*c. **K-Means Clustering**:*

The K-means clustering algorithm uses an algorithm to collect data according to similarities between data points. The algorithm segments healthcare patient claims data into classifications for detecting behaviour points that indicate possible fraudulent actions [14].

iii. Isolation Forest:

All anomaly detection approaches designed for isolation forests function as anomaly detectors instead of building profiles for normal data points. Isolation forests stand distinct from other tools because they serve as specialized detectors to identify all abnormal billing situations along with unexpected claims.

B. Deep Learning

The anomaly detection system known as Isolation forests pursues anomalies in data through isolation instead of normality modeling. Isolation forests demonstrate a unique ability that proves effective for billing anomaly detection and identification of unexpected claims [15].

i. Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs)

CNNs represent deep learning algorithms structured for image processing tasks which excel at recognizing visual information throughout medicine. The built-in automatic feature detection capability of CNNs proves highly advantageous in X-ray analysis and the interpretation of MRIs CT scans together with mammograms. CNNs operate in healthcare fraud detection systems for identifying fraudulent medical imaging claims. CNNs automatically detect image tampering by studying image report consistency therefore exposing submitted false or manipulated evidence by medical providers for unneeded medical procedures. A Large collection of medical images combined with their corresponding diagnoses help training CNNs to detect fraudulent markers existing in the data. The application of CNNs boosts fraud detection capabilities due to their capability to perform automated discovery of hard-to-spot irregular patterns that human reviewers would otherwise miss [16].

ii. Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs)

Recurrent Neural Networks (RNNs) are artificial networks designed for sequential data problems which sustain prior sequence steps and their contextual information. The architectural design of RNNs makes them suitable for time-series predictions together with language modeling applications and trend analytic needs. RNNs function in healthcare fraud detection by monitoring treatment and medical procedure developments across entire patient healthcare periods. RNNs analyze patients' past treatment records to identify irregular patterns which suggest improper billing together with unauthorized treatment modifications or protocol violations in healthcare facilities [17]. RNNs enable detection of both extended treatments without medical need and duplicated billing transactions for single procedures. RNNs develop the ability to detect fraudulent patterns by processing historical patient data alongside billing records in sequential order to uncover recognition schemes spanning various points in a treatment process.

iii. Natural Language Processing (NLP)

The detection approach of healthcare fraud through artificial intelligence (AI) includes Natural Language Processing (NLP) as one of its most efficient techniques. Through NLP organizations can process large collections of unstructured text data that includes doctor's notes and patient histories along with billing statements. The process of NLP textual interpretation provides valuable insights to detect abnormalities along with fraudulent actions in written documentation. In NLP practice Named Entity Recognition (NER) stands as a fundamental technique to recognize particular items including patient names with their prescribed medication list and documented medical procedures [18]. Named Entity Recognition (NER) enables the detection of fraudulent activities by finding patterns from billing codes and falsified patient histories that occur in claims documents [19]. The value of NLP techniques includes text classification which allows healthcare practitioners to classify Electronic Health Record (EHR) and insurance claim data into categories like "fraudulent" or "legitimate". Through this method inspectors can identify fraudulent indicators in claims data through repeated medical terms or codes that may represent disguised upcoding fraud.

C. Predictive Analytics

The usage of data to predict organizational or business futures falls under business intelligence domain. Healthcare fraud prediction through predictive analytics conducts machine learning algorithm calculations on historical fraud statistics to forecast upcoming fraudulent events. The models are instrumental in situations where healthcare organizations can use them to take preventive measures when fraud has not spread widely.

i. Regression Models:

The goal of regression models is to establish relationships between different factors which helps estimate the chance of fraud. The calculation of fraudulent claim probability through these models utilizes historical data to produce their results [20].

*a. **Time-Series Forecasting**:*

Time-series analysis of past data lets healthcare organizations predict future trends of fraudulent activities. Analytical models detect recurring patterns of suspicious activities which gives healthcare organizations the capability to direct their funds into areas at highest risk for fraud.

ii. Challenges in Implementing AI for Fraud Detection

The implementation of AI detection methods for healthcare fraud proves successful yet faces various deployment barriers. Several challenges exist which block the effective implementation of AI technologies so they must be properly managed:

a. Data Quality and Availability:

Healthcare organizations face significant difficulties with utilizing AI detection systems for fraud because they must guarantee both superior data quality and constant access to information. AI algorithms demand extensive datasets consisting of standardized high-quality information both during training and predictive operations. The insufficient quality of healthcare data through its incomplete and inconsistent nature negatively affects AI model effectiveness [21].

*b. **Incomplete Data**:*

Incomplete information stored in Electronic Health Records (EHRs) or insurance claims hinders AI systems from performing accurate fraud identification properly. The AI system makes processing errors when it encounters treatment code or demographic information errors or omissions in records [22].

*c. **Data Silos**:*

Healthcare institutions maintain their data across multiple systems with different formats that create difficulties for AI programs trying to compile diverse record types including hospital records, insurance data, and public health data. Data dispersion across multiple systems creates integration obstacles for analysis thereby stumbling detection efforts for fraudulent activities.

d. Privacy and Ethical Concerns:

The implementation of AI systems for healthcare fraud detection creates major moral and data confidentiality questions. The analysis of electronic health records using AI presents privacy risks because such information holds sensitive personal data that potentially breaches HIPAA and other legal protection frameworks [23].

e. ***Data Privacy****:*

The implementation of AI systems requires absolute priority for patient information safety and protection of sensitive data. AI system deployments in healthcare need data protection because breaches of patient information will result in serious legal action and violate patient rights.

iii. Algorithmic Bias:

An AI recommendation system will function based on the accuracy of training data that its developers feed into it. The presence of biases in training data allows AI systems to replicate such biases which produces unequal results. AI systems that receive training from specific demographic data might fail to recognize fraud and deliver prejudiced forecasted results when applied to different groups. AI fairness has gained increasing importance because experts want to stop biased results and make sure algorithms do not strengthen social inequality [24].

D. Integration with Existing Healthcare Systems

Healthcare organizations encounter difficult challenges when they try to combine AI technology with their existing systems as well as face substantial implementation costs. The implementation of AI systems faces practical issues since they can disrupt standardized workflows and produce fear among personnel because they think AI systems will disrupt their operational tasks. Staff members who work in healthcare administration along with medical professionals may avoid adopting new technologies when they feel it will increase their existing technical responsibilities [25]. Successful implementation requires examination of compatibility as a major element. Insurance-based fraud detection systems demand compatibility for existing mechanical infrastructure which includes electronic health record systems (EHRs) and billing programs and insurance process management applications. Such implementations usually need major operational changes to current technological systems. Complete adoption of AI solutions in healthcare requires them to function perfectly with the existing healthcare infrastructure [26].

II. Conclusion

The employment of artificial intelligence in healthcare sector fraud detection represents a major progress toward combating fraud issues that increase yearly. Healthcare resources maintain protection against fraud through modern AI technologies like machine learning and natural language processing and deep learning that deliver appropriate care services to patients. THROUGH a combination of claims history review and patient record analysis alongside medical image studies AI systems detect broken patterns that enable lower healthcare fraud costs. AI-based fraud detection systems need to be properly implemented by establishing strategic partnerships between healthcare providers along with insurers and regulators while collaborating with AI developers. The parties engaged in this process both develop AI models while upholding ethical standards and meeting privacy and legal requirements. The ongoing challenges in implementing AI for fraud protection exist but AI shows encouraging prospects for this application area. Further advancement of AI technologies coupled with ethical regulations could enable AI systems to transform how healthcare detects fraud thus leading to improved healthcare service safety and effectiveness.

III. References

1. UCI Machine Learning Repository (2021). *Health Insurance Fraud Detection Dataset*. Retrieved from https://archive.ics.uci.edu/ml/datasets/Health+Insurance+Fraud+Detection

2. Ramsbotham, S., Kiron, D., & Prentice, P. (2018). *Artificial Intelligence in Health: A Framework for Fraud Detection*. Harvard Business Review, 96(3), 50-59.
3. Gondal, M. N., Shah, S. U. R., Chinnaiyan, A. M., & Cieslik, M. (2024). A systematic overview of single-cell transcriptomics databases, their use cases, and limitations. *Frontiers in Bioinformatics*, *4*, 1417428.
4. Gondal, M., Bao, Y., Mannan, R., Hu, J., Chinnaiyan, A., & Cieslik, M. (2025). Abstract A094: Single-cell Transcriptomics Unveils Novel Regulators of MHC Expression: Implications for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunology Research*, *13*(2_Supplement), A094-A094.
5. Bao, Y., Qiao, Y., Choi, J. E., Zhang, Y., Mannan, R., Cheng, C., ... & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2023). Targeting the lipid kinase PIKfyve upregulates surface expression of MHC class I to augment cancer immunotherapy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *120*(49), e2314416120.
6. Bao, Y., Qiao, Y., Choi, J. E., Zhang, Y., Mannan, R., Cheng, C., ... & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2023). Targeting the lipid kinase PIKfyve upregulates surface expression of MHC class I to augment cancer immunotherapy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *120*(49), e2314416120.
7. Choi, J. E., Qiao, Y., Kryczek, I., Yu, J., Gurkan, J., Bao, Y., ... & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2024). PIKfyve controls dendritic cell function and tumor immunity. *bioRxiv*.
8. Gondal, M. N., Butt, R. N., Shah, O. S., Nasir, Z., Hussain, R., Khawar, H., ... & Chaudhary, S. U. (2020). In silico Drosophila Patient Model Reveals Optimal Combinatorial Therapies for Colorectal Cancer. *bioRxiv*, 2020-08.
9. Bhat, V., & Kadaba, P. (2020). *AI-Powered Fraud Detection in Healthcare: Prospects and Challenges*. Journal of Healthcare Information Management, 34(4), 15-22.
10. Sandhu, R., & Sharma, A. (2020). *Natural Language Processing and AI for Healthcare Fraud Detection*. Journal of Medical Systems, 44(8), 134.
11. Amritraj, S., & Agarwal, S. (2021). *Integrating Blockchain with AI for Fraud Prevention in Healthcare*. Journal of Healthcare Management, 66(3), 193-202.
12. Ho, S. T., & Tan, C. K. (2020). *Artificial Intelligence and Big Data Analytics for Fraud Detection in Healthcare*. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications, 11(6), 107-113.
13. Nor, N. M., & Tharim, M. M. (2020). *AI-Based Systems for Healthcare Fraud Prevention: Implementation and Ethical Concerns*. Journal of Health Informatics, 26(2), 51-58.
14. Yu, J., & Zheng, J. (2021). *Detecting Healthcare Fraud Using Artificial Intelligence: A Case Study*. Healthcare Management Review, 46(2), 123-135.
15. Li, L., & Xu, B. (2019). *Healthcare Fraud Detection: A Machine Learning Approach*. Journal of Healthcare Engineering, 2019, 1-10.
16. Raza, S., & Aslam, N. (2020). *Blockchain-Enabled Fraud Detection in Healthcare: A Review*. IEEE Access, 8, 141789-141804.
17. Lee, S., & Kim, M. (2021). *Challenges and Opportunities of Implementing AI in Healthcare Fraud Prevention*. Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare, 5(3), 110-122.
18. Williams, C., & Johnson, A. (2020). *Leveraging AI for Fraud Prevention in Healthcare: A Comparative Analysis*. International Journal of Healthcare Technology, 9(4), 92-104.
19. Kumar, S., Hasan, S. U., Shiwlani, A., Kumar, S., & Kumar, S. DEEP LEARNING APPROACHES TO MEDICAL IMAGE ANALYSIS: TRANSFORMING DIAGNOSTICS AND TREATMENT PLANNING.
20. Shiwlani, A., Khan, M., Sherani, A. M. K., & Qayyum, M. U. (2023). Synergies of AI and Smart Technology: Revolutionizing Cancer Medicine, Vaccine Development, and Patient Care. International Journal of Social, Humanities and Life Sciences, 1(1), 10-18.
21. Shiwlani, A., Ahmad, A., Umar, M., Dharejo, N., Tahir, A., & Shiwlani, S. (2024). BI-RADS Category Prediction from Mammography Images and Mammography Radiology Reports Using Deep Learning: A Systematic Review. Jurnal Ilmiah Computer Science, 3(1), 30-49.

22. Thatoi, P., Choudhary, R., Shiwlani, A., Qureshi, H. A., & Kumar, S. (2023). Natural Language Processing (NLP) in the Extraction of Clinical Information from Electronic Health Records (EHRs) for Cancer Prognosis. International Journal, 10(4), 2676-2694.
23. Shiwlani, A., Ahmad, A., Umar, M., Dharejo, N., Tahir, A., & Shiwlani, S. (2024). Analysis of Multi-modal Data Through Deep Learning Techniques to Diagnose CVDs: A Review. International Journal, 11(1), 402-420.
24. Shah, Y. A. R., Qureshi, S. M., Ahmed, H., Qureshi, S. U. R. S., Shiwlani, A., & Ahmad, A. (2024). Artificial Intelligence in Stroke Care: Enhancing Diagnostic Accuracy, Personalizing Treatment, and Addressing Implementation Challenges.
25. William, Q., Leo, L., Qureshi, H. A., & Shah, Y. A. R. (2025). Transforming Rural Healthcare Through Telemedicine Expanding Access to Essential Services, Enhancing Patient Outcomes, and Overcoming Geographic and Resource-Based Barriers to Care. *Nature-Health*, *1*(1).
26. Bauer, E., & Qureshi, H. A. (2025). Harnessing Artificial Intelligence and Machine Learning for Enhanced Diagnosis of Takotsubo Cardiomyopathy. *AIPrespective. org*, *1*(1).
27. Gondal, M. N., & Chaudhary, S. U. (2021). Navigating Multi-scale Cancer Systems Biology towards Model-driven Personalized Therapeutics. *bioRxiv*, 2021-05.
28. Gondal, M., Bao, Y., Mannan, R., Hu, J., Chinnaiyan, A., & Cieslik, M. (2025). Abstract A094: Single-cell Transcriptomics Unveils Novel Regulators of MHC Expression: Implications for Cancer Immunotherapy. *Cancer Immunology Research*, *13*(2_Supplement), A094-A094.
29. Choi, J. E., Qiao, Y., Kryczek, I., Yu, J., Gurkan, J., Bao, Y., ... & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2024). PIKfyve controls dendritic cell function and tumor immunity. *bioRxiv*.
30. Gondal, M. N. (2024). Assessing Bias in Gene Expression Omnibus (GEO) Datasets. *bioRxiv*, 2024-11.
31. Gondal, M. N., Butt, R. N., Shah, O. S., Nasir, Z., Hussain, R., Khawar, H., ... & Chaudhary, S. U. (2020). In silico Drosophila Patient Model Reveals Optimal Combinatorial Therapies for Colorectal Cancer. *bioRxiv*, 2020-08.
32. Gondal, M. N., Butt, R. N., Shah, O. S., Nasir, Z., Hussain, R., & Khawar, H. *In silico Drosophila Patient Model Reveals Optimal Combinatorial Therapies for Colorectal Cancer. bioRxiv [Internet]. 2020*.
33. Gondal, M. N., Cieslik, M., & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2025). Integrated cancer cell-specific single-cell RNA-seq datasets of immune checkpoint blockade-treated patients. *Scientific Data*, *12*(1), 139.
34. Gondal, M. N., Sultan, M. U., Arif, A., Rehman, A., Awan, H. A., Arshad, Z., ... & Chaudhary, S. U. (2021). TISON: a next-generation multi-scale modeling theatre for in silico systems oncology. *BioRxiv*, 2021-05.
35. Bao, Y., Cruz, G., Zhang, Y., Qiao, Y., Mannan, R., Hu, J., ... & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2025). The UBA1–STUB1 Axis Mediates Cancer Immune Escape and Resistance to Checkpoint Blockade. *Cancer Discovery*, *15*(2), 363-381.
36. Gondal, M. N., & Farooqi, H. M. U. (2025). Single-Cell Transcriptomic Approaches for Decoding Non-Coding RNA Mechanisms in Colorectal Cancer. *Non-Coding RNA*, *11*(2), 24.
37. Choi, J. E., Qiao, Y., Kryczek, I., Yu, J., Gurkan, J., Bao, Y., ... & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2024). PIKfyve, expressed by CD11c-positive cells, controls tumor immunity. *Nature Communications*, *15*(1), 5487.
38. Bao, Y., Qiao, Y., Choi, J. E., Zhang, Y., Mannan, R., Cheng, C., ... & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2023). Targeting the lipid kinase PIKfyve upregulates surface expression of MHC class I to augment cancer immunotherapy. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *120*(49), e2314416120.
39. Borker, P., Bao, Y., Qiao, Y., Chinnaiyan, A., Choi, J. E., Zhang, Y., ... & Zou, W. (2024). Targeting the lipid kinase PIKfyve upregulates surface expression of MHC class I to augment cancer immunotherapy. *Cancer Research*, *84*(6_Supplement), 7479-7479.

40. Tahir, A., Martinez, P. J., Ahmad, F., Fisher-Hoch, S. P., McCormick, J., Gay, J. L., ... & Chaudhary, S. U. (2021). An evaluation of lipid profile and pro-inflammatory cytokines as determinants of cardiovascular disease in those with diabetes: a study on a Mexican American cohort. *Scientific reports*, *11*(1), 2435.
41. Gondal, M. N., Mannan, R., Bao, Y., Hu, J., Cieslik, M., & Chinnaiyan, A. M. (2024). Pan-tissue master regulator inference reveals mechanisms of MHC alterations in cancers. *Cancer Research*, *84*(6_Supplement), 860-860.
42. Gondal, M. N., Butt, R. N., Shah, O. S., Sultan, M. U., Mustafa, G., Nasir, Z., ... & Chaudhary, S. U. (2021). A personalized therapeutics approach using an in silico drosophila patient model reveals optimal chemo-and targeted therapy combinations for colorectal cancer. *Frontiers in Oncology*, *11*, 692592.
43. Gondal, M. N., Butt, R. N., Shah, O. S., Sultan, M. U., Mustafa, G., & Nasir, Z. & Chaudhary, SU (2021). A personalized therapeutics approach using an in silico drosophila patient model reveals optimal chemo-and targeted therapy combinations for colorectal cancer. *Frontiers in Oncology*, *11*(692592), 492-499.
44. Tahir, A., Wajid, B., Anwar, F., Awan, F. G., Rashid, U., Afzal, F., ... & Wajid, I. (2023, March). Survivability period prediction in colon cancer patients using machine learning. In *2023 International Conference on Energy, Power, Environment, Control, and Computing (ICEPECC)* (pp. 1-4). IEEE.
45. Iqbal, H., Khan, S., Tahir, A., & Ramzan, H. (2024, November). Convolutional Neural Network Driven Electroencephalogram Characterization for Robust and Efficient Schizophrenia Diagnosis. In *2024 3rd International Conference on Emerging Trends in Electrical, Control, and Telecommunication Engineering (ETECTE)* (pp. 1-5). IEEE.
46. Afzal, F., Wajid, B., Anwar, F., Rashid, U., Awan, F. G., Tahir, A., ... & Anwar, A. R. Praedico–Salvos: an ensemble ML framework for predicting survivability of thyroid cancer patients.
47. Buk Cardoso, L., Cunha Parro, V., Verzinhasse Peres, S., Curado, M. P., Fernandes, G. A., Wünsch Filho, V., & Natasha Toporcov, T. (2023). Machine learning for predicting survival of colorectal cancer patients. *Scientific reports*, *13*(1), 8874.
48. Gupta, P., Chiang, S. F., Sahoo, P. K., Mohapatra, S. K., You, J. F., Onthoni, D. D., ... & Tsai, W. S. (2019). Prediction of colon cancer stages and survival period with machine learning approach. *Cancers*, *11*(12), 2007.
49. Shmatko, A., Ghaffari Laleh, N., Gerstung, M., & Kather, J. N. (2022). Artificial intelligence in histopathology: enhancing cancer research and clinical oncology. *Nature cancer*, *3*(9), 1026-1038.
50. Swanson, K., Wu, E., Zhang, A., Alizadeh, A. A., & Zou, J. (2023). From patterns to patients: Advances in clinical machine learning for cancer diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment. *Cell*, *186*(8), 1772-1791.